

<u>Content</u>

STATE POLITICS IN INDIA


Question Paper—June-2024 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—December-2023 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—June-2023 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—December-2022 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—Exam Held in March-2022 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—Exam Held in August-2021 (Solved) 1-2
Question Paper—Exam Held in February-2021 (Solved) 1-2
Question Paper—December, 2019 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—June, 2019 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—December, 2018 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—June, 2018 (Solved) 1
Question Paper—December, 2017 (Solved) 1-2
Question Paper—June, 2017 (Solved) 1

S.No. Chapterwise Reference Book

Page

1.	Development of State Politics in India	1
2.	Frameworks for Analysis	8
3.	Nature of Indian Diversities and Nationalist Responses	16
4.	States in the Constitutional Scheme	25
5.	Development of State System	38
6.	Elections and Electoral Politics	50
7.	Political Parties and Party Systems	61
8.	Patterns of Dissent and Protest Moveme nts in Indian States	70
9.	Developmental Issues and Regional Disparities	78

S.No.	Chapterwise Reference Book	Page
10. Agrarian	Transformation and Land Reforms	
11. Industry	and Labour	
12. Globaliza	ation and Liberalization: Implications for State Politics	107
13. Inter-stat	te Disputes: Water and Territorial Boundaries	116
14. Patterns	of Communal Politics	124
15. Assertion	n of Dalits and Backward Castes	133
16. Linguisti	c and Ethnic Minorities in State Politics	143
17. State Aut	tonomy Movements in India	152



QUESTION PAPER

June – 2024

(Solved)

STATE POLITICS IN INDIA

[Maximum Marks: 50

Time: 2 Hours]

Note: Attempt five questions in all, selecting at least two questions from each section. All questions carry equal marks.

SECTION-I

O. 1. Briefly explain the dominant features of state politics in India in the post-Independence era.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-1, Page No. 1, Q. No. 1. and Q. No. 2.

Q. 2. Discuss the nature of religious diversities in Indian politics. What are the main responses of nationalists?

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-3, Page No. 17, 'Religious Diversity Versus Communalism', Page No. 20, Q. No. 2.

Q. 3. Critically examine the centre-state relations and tension areas in Indian Politics.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-4, Page No. 26, 'Union-State Relationship'.

Q. 4. Trace the reorganization of states. What are the consequential problems of the reorganization on Inter-state relations?

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-5, Page No. 44, Q. No. 4

Q. 5. Write short notes on the following:

(a) Electoral Reforms in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-6, Page No. 54, 'Electoral Reforms'.

(b) Regional disparities in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-9, Page No. 84, Q. No. 2. **SECTION-II**

Q. 6. Critically examine the factors that led to the multiparty system in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-7, Page No. 65, Q. No. 3, Page No. 63, 'Towards Fragmentation of State Party System: 1989 Onwards'.

Q. 7. Examine the nature of dissent and protest movements in Indian politics.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-8, Page No. 70, 'Meaning : Dissent and Protest', Page No. 71, 'Characteristics and Patterns of Protest Movements'.

Q. 8. Explain the factors responsible for economic reforms and globalisation and its impact on Indian state politics.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-12, Page No. 110, Q. No. 1, Page No. 109, 'Globalization and Its Impact on State Politics'.

Q. 9. Examine the water and territorial disputes in India federalism.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-13, Page No. 119, Q. No. 1.

Q. 10. Write short notes on the following:

(a) Role of backward classes in Indian politics.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-15, Page No. 136, 'Assertion of Backward Classes'.

(b) Ethnic minorities in Indian politics.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-16, Page No. 145, 'Ethnic Minorities and Politics'.

M.P.S.E.-8

QUESTION PAPER

December – 2023

(Solved)

STATE POLITICS IN INDIA

M.P.S.E.-8

Time: 2 Hours]

Note: Attempt **five** questions in all, selecting at least **two** questions from each section. All questions **carry equal** marks.

SECTION-I

Q. 1. Describe the changing pattern of state politics since the 1970s.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-1, Page No. 1, 'Rise of Regional Forces and State Politics: The 1970s', Page No. 2, 'State Politics: The 1980s Onwards'.

Q. 2. Examine the areas of tension in Union-State relations in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-4, Page No. 28, 'Tension Areas in Union-State Relations', Page No. 31, Q. No. 5 and Q. No. 6.

Q. 3. What, according to you, are the main reasons for Demand for state autonomy? Elaborate.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-5, Page No. 42, 'Reasons for Statehood Demand', 'The Horizontal Problems of Statehood'.

Q. 4. Write short notes on the following:

(a) Patterns of protest movements in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-8, Page No. 71, 'Characteristics and Pattern of Protest Movement'.

(b) Regional disparities in human development. Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-9, Page No. 82, 'Levels of Regional Disparities in Human Development'.

Q. 5. Land reforms had an enormous impact on agrarian transformation. Examine with reference to the rise of Kulaks.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-10, Page No. 93, 'Impact of Land Reforms : Agrarian Transormation', Page No. 95, Q. No. 3.

SECTION-II

Q. 6. Liberalisation has accentuated the problem of regional imbalances. Discuss.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-12, Page No. 109, 'Economic Liberlization: Divergent Views'.

Q. 7. Write short notes on the following:

(a) Development as freedom.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-9, Page No. 80, 'Development as Freedom'.

(b) Determinants of electoral behaviour in India. Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-6, Page No. 52, 'Determinants of Electoral Behaviour'.

Q. 8. Describe the patterns of communal politics in contemporary India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-14, Page No. 127, 'Patterns of Presentday Communal Politics'.

Q. 9. Write short notes on the following:

(a) Linguistic minorities.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-16, Page No. 146, Q. No. 1, Q. No. 2.


(b) Cooperative movement in agriculture.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-10, Page No. 91, 'Cooperative Societies'.

Q. 10. Write a note on the assertion of backward castes in India.

Ans. Ref.: See Chapter-15, Page No. 136, 'Assertion of Backward Classes'.

[Maximum Marks: 50



STATE POLITICS IN INDIA

Development of State Politics in India

INTRODUCTION

State politics in India developed in the post-independence era. In the beginning, the states were bifurcated in four categories–A, B, C and D. But in the 60s era the political pundits felt the need of studying state politics as a different subject. State politics have witnessed number of changes in the post-independence era. It has taken the centre stage. By 1990s, states have become partners in the coalition governments. The states are now equally participating by becoming the regular members in the coalition governments. They represent the different region and social agendas.

In this chapter, we will learn about state politics in India. We will learn that methods of state government have been changes since independence. We also cover the different issues related with the changing scenario of the state politics. The prime focus of this chapter is to show the patterns of changes occurred in the state politics here in India.

CHAPTER AT A GLANCE

STATE POLITICS: THE 1950s-1960s

State politics for the first two decades is mainly in the hands of centre. During this era, Nehruvian model of development and the single party system signified the politics in India. India held its first national elections under the Constitution in 1952, where a turnout of over 60% was recorded. The National Congress Party won an overwhelming majority, and Jawaharlal Nehru began a second term as Prime Minister. Congress won 1957 and 1962 elections under the leadership of Prime Minister Nehru. The Parliament passed panoptic reforms to give more legal rights to women, and also framed

laws against caste discrimination and untouchability. Many schools, colleges and institutions for providing primary and advanced learning, such as the Indian Institutes of Technology were founded across the nation. No taxes were imposed on Indian farmers, minimum wage and benefits for working-class, and the nationalization of steel, aviation, shipping, electricity and mining industries takes place in that era. States Reorganization Act was passed in 1956 by the States Reorganization Commission, who directed the creation of new states on the basis of spoken language and ethnic demographics. The Congress has dominated the power in number of states in that era. After the few years of independence, Naga and Mizo insurgencies started in the North-East India. Also, the demand for reorganization of states was raised in South India. The other socialists parties and left front mobilized the people on various issues against the Congress. The dominant pattern of state politics was challenged even from within the Congress. Some leaders in the Congress party were not behind in creating their social bases. And consolidated their own bases in their respective states. The prime example of this is Charan Singh. Charan Singh left the Congress party in 1967, and formed his own political party. With the help and support of Raj Narain and Ram Manohar Lohia, he became Chief

Minister of Uttar Pradesh in 1967, and later in 1970. **RISE OF REGIONAL FORCES**

AND STATE POLITICS: THE 1970s

Changes in the state politics during the 1960s and 1970s took place after the demise of Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru. There has been rise of rich or the Kulaks in the areas which has witnessed the Green Revolution.

2 / STATE POLITICS IN INDIA

The most appropriate example is those of Jats in Haryana and Punjab. Charan Singh formed Bhartiya Kranti Dal with the focus mainly of the agrarian agenda.

After the demise of Congress, various regional parties come together and form Janata Party at the national and state level. The appointment Mandal Commission and new reservation system for backward classes take place in Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. The first manifestation of regionalism was the demand for reorganization of states on linguistic basis, but the most effective play of regionalism was the victory of the DMK against Congress in Tamil Nadu in 1960s. Soon in Punjab, the Akali movement gained momentum, while in Jammu and Kashmir Sheikh Abdullah revived the National Conference. During these initial years, all the Indian political parties continued to adjust with these regional forces on the plea that they would ultimately succeed in making inroads into the bases of the regional parties and absorb them in their organizations.

Though, the regional parties operate within very limited area and pursue only limited objective, they have played significant role both in the State as well as national politics. The regional political parties formed governments in several states and tried to give concrete shape to their policies and programmes. Some of the important regional parties which formed governments in various states include DMK and AIADMK in Tamil Nadu; National Conference in Jammu and Kashmir, Telugu Desam in Andhra Pradesh, Asom Gana Parishad in Assam; Maharasthrawadi Gomantak Party in Goa; Mizo National Front in Mizoram; Sikkim Sangram Parishad in Sikkim; All Party Hill Leaders Conference in Meghalaya and Indian National Lok Dal (INLD) in Haryana.

STATE POLITICS: THE 1980s ONWARDS Assertion of Identities

The developments after the 1980s, contributed to the changing phase of the state politics in India. These developments included the advent of coalition politics, globalization assertion of number of identities based on ethnicity, i.e. caste, tribe, language, etc.

Caste is basically a system of social stratification which permeates Indian social structure and polity and hence, to a large extent determines the pattern of social interaction within and among social groups. India is a plural society having multiplicity of religious, linguistic and caste groups. All these varieties are a natural manifestation and continuation of India's traditional social fibres which have undergone slight changes during the long period of Indian history. Due to its diversity, India is facing many dangerous problems like, communalism, regionalism, linguism, casteism and so on. The formation of BSP and Janata Dal in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar take place in this era.

In this part of the section, we shall focus on two of the prominent movements of the rural rich, one led by the Bharatiya Kisan Union (BKU) in Western Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana and the Shetkari Sangathan (SS) which represents primarily the interests of the sugarcane, cotton, tobacco, grape and onion growers in south-west Maharashtra though it also has its base in Gujarat.

Impact of Globalization

Patterns of globalization and liberalization need to be examined in light of their political consequences, especially in the context of situations of state collapse and violent conflict. Champions of globalization can be divided into two camps – the advocates of economic liberalization and the promoters of global governance. They share a common skepticism of the state, which ignores both the developmental lessons of history and perverse impact liberalization has had peace and security. While liberalization has transformed the terrain of politics, privileging a form of semi-democracy, problems of violent conflict highlight the urgent need for the reconstitution of modern states in the developing world.

During the phase of globalization, the party system has witnessed number of changes. In most of the Indian states two or more than two parties emerged as a principal political parties. These state level parties are oriented towards their local area and issues. The most prime examples in this regard are BSP with its base in UP, Akali Dal and BJP has its influence in the northern region, AIADMK and DMK in south India.

Insurgencies and State Politics

The insurgencies and other related issues taken the centre stage in the politics of the several states in the north India. These developments not only affect the political structure of their respective states. They also have all important effect on the national scenario. Insurgencies do not emerge in a vacuum. Their underlying root causes are invariably to be found in political, socio-economic, or religious domains; their nature and scope depending upon the nature of the grievances, motivations and demands of the people. India has had its share of insurgencies. In all, an estimated 30 armed insurgency movements are sweeping across the country, reflecting an acute sense of alienation on the part of the people involved. Broadly, these can

be divided into movements for political rights (e.g. Assam, Kashmir and Punjab), movements for social and economic justice (e.g. Maoist [Naxalite] and northeastern states), and religious grounds (e.g. Laddakh). These causes overlap at times. Various groups are involved in the insurgency in Northeast India, India's north-east states, which are connected to the rest of India by a narrow strip of land known as the Siliguri Corridor. Much of the region is notably ethnically and linguistically different from the rest of India. Some groups call for a separate state, others for regional autonomy while some extreme groups demand complete independence.

EXERCISES

Q. 1. What were the dominant features of state politics in India in the first two decades following independence?

Ans. State politics for the first two decades is mainly in the hands of the centre. The single party system signified the state politics in India. The party system during the first two decades after independence was termed as the Single Dominant Party system. It was a multi-party system where the ruling party played an overwhelmingly dominant role. Although, a number of other political parties existed and operated politically, yet the central space of politics was occupied by the Indian National Congress only. The dominance of the Congress was determined by its immense organizational strength as well as its capability to capture large number of seats both in the Union Parliament and the State Legislatures.

Dominance of the Congress as the ruling party did not mean absence of competition. The numerous parties in opposition provided competition. Yet, such competition did not result in effectively challenging the dominant position of the ruling party. In electoral terms, it implied that although a number of opposition parties entered the electoral arena but none of them singly or in combination could secure substantial number of seats to replace the Congress as the ruling party. These parties were fragmented and poorly represented in the union and the state legislatures. Congress continued to return its candidates in large numbers, in fact, in proportionately large numbers.

One of the important features of the Congress party that helped it to sustain its position of dominance was its capacity to represent divergent social groups and interests. As it drew its support from different sections of society, it played the role of a great umbrella party.

DEVELOPMENT OF STATE POLITICS IN INDIA / 3

During the nationalist movement, it had accommodated diverse groups into its fold and had stressed on the need for their unity within the same organizational structure, It had therefore assumed the character of a broad coalition. In the post-independence period, it continued to absorb the dominant social elements and balance different interests that helped it to maintain' its unchallenged position of power.

Q. 2. Why did the Congress system or the dominant party system decline?

Ans. Change in the nature of party system in the decades after 1960s' according to Rajni Kothari, was the consequence of the 'changed socio-economic and demographic profile of the polity'. Such a change in the profile of the polity was a consequence of the political mobilization of the masses as well as the emergence of new-political classes. The political mobilization of the masses was a logical consequence of the electoral politics based upon the principle of universal adult franchisee. Frequently held elections helped in increasing the political consciousness of mass of Indians, especially those belonging to the backward and lower castes.

The emergence of the new political classes was directly related to the rise of the proprietary peasant class in rural India in the backdrop of the land reforms. By the beginning of the 70s, the land-owning socially dominant sections belonging mainly to the backward castes had attained sufficient economic strength to demand a share in political power. The entry of these castes into the competitive power politics had farreaching consequences for the Indian politics. Firstly, there was an increase in the number of those aspiring for a share in power. The party system in India has undergone major transformation in the last five decades.

As a legacy of the National Movement, the Party System in 1947 was characterized by an overwhelming dominance of the Congress party. As the central political institution of India, the Congress completely controlled the power politics for the first two decades after independence. The strength of the Congress lay in its organizational structure and in its ability to accommodate diverse political interests. This peculiarity of the Congress was linked with the homogeneous nature of the political elite whether belonging to the Congress or the opposition.

Q. 3. Explain the impact of identities on the state politics in India.

Ans. The assertion of dalits in North India impacted the political scenario of the country.

4 / STATE POLITICS IN INDIA

At the level of the states, there has been, for quite some time, a keen competition for political power. Yet, there are variations in the way this competition operates. In many states, there is an alternation of power between at least two parties. The party politics, in these states may be described as the two-party politics. In many other, states, politics is not neatly divided between the two parties. There is a multiplicity of parties with tile parties bunching themselves in two group's poles. The party politics of these states may be defined in terms of bi-polarity. There are other states also having a multiparty system but which cannot be neatly classified either as two-party system or systems of bipolarity.

There is, therefore, a lot of fluidity in party politics at the state level. This fluidity operates not only in the nature of party competition but also in the way the political parties are placed in the states. Regional parties are important political actors at the level of the states but that does not mean that national parties do not operate at the level of states. There are different patterns of competition between the regional and the national parties. In some of the states, regional parties are very crucial political actors, but in outlier states, regional parties do not play that important role. There are some states where national parties do not have significant presence. In some states, party competition takes place mainly among the national parties while there are states where the power alternates between a national and a regional party. In some of the states, the national parties have acquired a regional character and compete with the national or the regional parties. Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, Assam, Punjab, Kerala, Jammu and Kashmir, are the states with a strong tradition for regional parties, while UP, Himachal Pradesh, MP, Karnataka, Orissa and Rajasthan have been the states where the competition has been taking place between the national parties.

Q. 4. What is the relationship between globalization and state politics in India?

Ans. Globalization has weakened the position of the centre and enabled the states to be autonomous players in the national scenario. Much had changed in India after the balance of payments crisis of 1991. Indian policies became more positive about promoting exports and allowing foreign capital to participate in the process of India's growth. A question that needed to be asked was whether these were induced by the crisis, or ideational changes within the policy elite, or both? Did institutional histories matter in explaining the relative success or failure in converting policy change to institutional changes favouring competition and efficiency in diverse sectors of the Indian economy? An important research agenda to consider was the role of the multilateral institutions, and, technocratic shifts within the Indian policy elite, in explaining the distinct trade oriented shift in the policy trajectory since 1991. What were these changes? Tariffs were reduced; foreign exchange controls were relaxed; competition was introduced in the traditional public sector dominated infrastructure like skill power, telecommunications, and airlines; industrial licensing was virtually abolished; public sector units were sold to private corporations; foreign investment was welcomed in a variety of sectors; the intellectual property regime changed to favour the cause of protecting intellectual property rather than public health, and, foreign institutional investors began investing heavily in the Indian stock market. There were other areas such as the policy on labour, agriculture, and the continued growth of the fiscal deficit, where Indian policies had not changed substantially, despite India's moves towards global economic integration.

OTHER IMPORTANT QUESTIONS

Q. 1. What do you know about different regional parties in India?

Ans. Regional parties are parties whose main holds are in one certain state and mostly they participate in the elections only within that state. Most of these regional parties have agenda fitting certain culture dominant within that state. Some of these regional parties also participate in neighbouring states, which have constituencies with similar culture to the first state. Different state parties were established at different periods because of different reasons. Some even have origins prior to India's independence.

In Tamil Nadu, two main state parties are All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Kazagham (AIADMK) and Dravida Munnetra Kazagham (DMK). Of these two parties the DMK is the veteran party. The origins of these parties are prior to India's independence. The main ideology of this party is Tamil national pride. Before India's independence there were two Dravidian parties. One was Independent Party, which demand an independent Dravidstan in south India. Other was Justice Party, which had a Dravidian pride ideology. After India's independence, the Dravida Munnetra Kazagham (DMK) was established from the merger of these two parties in the former state of Madras, in south India. This party first demanded an independent Dravidstan for all of south India. Later on the demand was changed